# Independence and Bayesian Networks (Part 1)

Yuntian Deng

Lecture 7

Readings: RN 12.4, 13.1, & 13.2. PM 8.2 & 8.3.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 1 / 52

#### Outline

Learning Goals

Unconditional and Conditional Independence

Examples of Bayesian Networks

Why Bayesian Networks

Representing the Joint Distribution

Independence in Three Key Structures

Revisiting Learning Goals

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 2 / 52

## Learning Goals

Given a probabilistic model,

determine if two variables are unconditionally independent, or conditionally independent given a third variable.

- Give examples of deriving a compact representation of a joint distribution by using independence assumptions.
- Describe components of a Bayesian network.
- Compute a joint probability given a Bayesian network.
- Explain the independence relationships in the three key structures.



#### Unconditional and Conditional Independence

Examples of Bayesian Networks

Why Bayesian Networks

Representing the Joint Distribution

Independence in Three Key Structures

Revisiting Learning Goals

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 4 / 52

Definition ((unconditional) independence) X and Y are (unconditionally) independent iff

P(X|Y) = P(X)P(Y|X) = P(Y) $P(X \land Y) = P(X)P(Y)$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X.

Definition ((unconditional) independence) X and Y are (unconditionally) independent iff

P(X|Y) = P(X)P(Y|X) = P(Y) $P(X \land Y) = P(X)P(Y)$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X.

 $\rightarrow$  Convert between the two equations.

To specify joint probability, it is sufficient to specify the individual probabilities.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 5 / 52

Definition ((unconditional) independence) X and Y are (unconditionally) independent iff

P(X|Y) = P(X)P(Y|X) = P(Y) $P(X \land Y) = P(X)P(Y)$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 6 / 52

Definition ((unconditional) independence) X and Y are (unconditionally) independent iff

P(X|Y) = P(X)P(Y|X) = P(Y) $P(X \land Y) = P(X)P(Y)$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X.

 $\rightarrow$  To justify that

 $P(X \wedge Y) = P(X)P(Y)$ 

we need to make four comparisons.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 6 / 52

Definition (conditional independence) X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if

 $P(X|Y \land Z) = P(X|Z).$  $P(Y|X \land Z) = P(Y|Z).$  $P(Y \land X|Z) = P(Y|Z)P(X|Z).$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X if you already know Z.

Definition (conditional independence) X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if

 $P(X|Y \land Z) = P(X|Z).$  $P(Y|X \land Z) = P(Y|Z).$  $P(Y \land X|Z) = P(Y|Z)P(X|Z).$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X if you already know Z.

 $\rightarrow X$  is conditionally independent of Y given Z.

Independence does not imply conditional independence, and vice versa.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 7 / 52

Definition (conditional independence) X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if

$$\begin{split} P(X|Y \wedge Z) &= P(X|Z). \\ P(Y|X \wedge Z) &= P(Y|Z). \\ P(Y \wedge X|Z) &= P(Y|Z)P(X|Z). \end{split}$$

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X if you already know Z.

Definition (conditional independence) X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if

 $P(X|Y \wedge Z) = P(X|Z).$  $P(Y|X \wedge Z) = P(Y|Z).$  $P(Y \wedge X|Z) = P(Y|Z)P(X|Z).$ 

Learning Y does NOT influence your belief about X if you already know Z.

 $\rightarrow$  To justify that

 $P(X \land Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$ 

we need to make eight comparisons.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 8 / 52

Q #1: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Consider a model with three random variables, A, B, C. What is the minimum number of probabilities required to specify the joint distribution?

(A) 3

(B) 7

(C) 8

(D) 16

Q #1: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Consider a model with three random variables, A, B, C. What is the minimum number of probabilities required to specify the joint distribution?

(A) 3(B) 7(C) 8

(D) 16

 $\rightarrow$  (C)  $P(A), P(B|A), P(C|A \land B)$ . 1 + 2 + 4 = 7 probabilities Draw a graph to prove it to yourself.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen 9 / 52

## Q #2: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Consider a model with three random variables, A, B, C. Assume that A, B, and C are independent. What is the minimum number of probabilities required to specify the joint distribution?

(A) 3

(B) 7

(C) 8

(D) 16

#### Q #2: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Consider a model with three random variables, A, B, C. Assume that A, B, and C are independent. What is the minimum number of probabilities required to specify the joint distribution?

(A) 3 (B) 7 (C) 8 (D) 16  $\rightarrow$  (A) P(A), P(B), P(C). 1 + 1 + 1 = 3 probabilities Draw a graph to prove it to yourself.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen10 / 52

## Q #3: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Consider a model with three boolean random variables, A, B, C. Assume that A and B are conditionally independent given C. What is the minimum number of probabilities required to specify the joint distribution?

(A) 4

(B) 5

(C) 7

(D) 11

## Q #3: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Consider a model with three boolean random variables, A, B, C. Assume that A and B are conditionally independent given C. What is the minimum number of probabilities required to specify the joint distribution?

(A) 4

(B) 5

(C) 7

(D) 11

 $\rightarrow$  (B) P(C), P(A|C), P(B|C). 1 + 2 + 2 = 5 probabilities Draw a graph to prove it to yourself.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen11 / 52

#### Q #3a: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Given the joint probability distribution about A, B, and C, how many comparisons do we need to make to justify A and B are independent given C?

(A) 1
(B) 4
(C) 8
(D) 10

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen12 / 52

#### Q #3a: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Given the joint probability distribution about A, B, and C, how many comparisons do we need to make to justify A and B are independent given C?

(A) 1 (B) 4 (C) 8 (D) 10  $\rightarrow$  (C) p(B = T, A = T|C = T) = p(B = T|A = T) \* p(C = T|C = T)p(B = T, A = F | C = T) = p(B = T | C = T) \* p(B = F | C = T)... A total of 8 eqaulities!

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen12 / 52

#### Q #3b: Deriving a compact representation

**Q:** Read the table to understand whether B and C are independent given A.

А	В	С	Prob
Т	Т	Т	0.16
Т	Т	F	0.16
Т	F	Т	0.24
Т	F	F	0.24
F	Т	Т	0.012
F	Т	F	0.008
F	F	Т	0.108
F	F	F	0.072

(A) B and C are independent given A

(B) B and C are not independent given A

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen13 / 52

## Q #3b: Deriving a compact representation

Read the table to understand whether B and C are independent given A.

А	В	С	Prob
Т	Т	Т	0.16
Т	Т	F	0.16
Т	F	Т	0.24
Т	F	F	0.24
F	Т	Т	0.012
F	Т	F	0.008
F	F	Т	0.108
F	F	F	0.072

• Compute 
$$p(B, C|A)$$

• Compute 
$$p(B|A)$$
 and  $p(C|A)$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Verify } p(B,C|A) = p(B|A) * p(C|A)$$

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen14 / 52

#### Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(B, C|A)

А	В	С	Prob	
Т	Т	Т	0.16	
Т	Т	F	0.16	
Т	F	Т	0.24	
Т	F	F	0.24	
F	Т	Т	0.012	
F	Т	F	0.008	
F	F	Т	0.108	
F	F	F	0.072	

Table: Merging p(A, B, C).

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen15 / 52

Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(B, C|A)

В	С	(A)	Prob
Т	Т	Т	0.16 / 0.8 = 0.2
Т	F	Т	$0.16 \ / \ 0.8 = 0.2$
F	Т	Т	0.24 / 0.8 = 0.3
F	F	Т	0.24 / 0.8 = 0.3
Т	Т	F	0.012 / 0.2 = 0.06
Т	F	F	0.008 / 0.2 = 0.04
F	Т	F	0.108 / 0.2 = 0.54
F	F	F	0.072 / 0.2 = 0.36

Table: Computing p(B, C|A).

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen16 / 52

#### Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation

-						
Α	В	С	Prob			
Т	Т	Т	0.16			
Т	Т	C         Prob           T         0.16           F         0.16           T         0.24           F         0.24           T         0.012           F         0.008           T         0.108           F         0.072				
Т	F	Т	0.24			
Т	F	T 0.24 F 0.24 T 0.012				
F	Т	Т	0.012			
F	Т	F	0.008			
F	F	Т	0.108			
F	F	F	0.072			

Table: Merge p(A, B, C)



CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen17 / 52

Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(B|A)

Α	В	Prob
Т	Т	0.32
Т	F	0.48
F	Т	0.02
F	F	0.18

Table: Computing p(A, B)

- Marginalizing over variable C
- Joint p(A, B) is displayed in the table

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen18 / 52

Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(B|A)

В	(A)	Prob
Т	Т	0.32 / 0.8 = 0.4
F	Т	0.48 / 0.8 = 0.6
Т	F	0.02 / 0.2 = 0.1
F	F	0.18 / 0.2 = 0.9

Table: Computing p(B|A)

- Marginalizing over variable C
- Conditional p(B|A) is displayed in the table

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen19 / 52

## Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(C|A)

А	В	С	Prob
Т	Т	Т	0.16
Т	F	Т	0.24
Т	Т	F	0.16
Т	F	F	0.24
F	Т	Т	0.012
F	F	Т	0.108
F	Т	F	0.008
F	F	F	0.072

Table: Merging p(A, B, C)



CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen20 / 52

Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(C|A)

Α	С	Prob
Т	Т	0.4
Т	F	0.4
F	Т	0.12
F	F	0.08

Table: Computing p(A, C)



CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen21 / 52

Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation p(C|A)

С	(A)	Prob
Т	Т	0.4 / 0.8 = 0.5
F	Т	0.4 / 0.8 = 0.5
Т	F	0.12 / 0.2 = 0.6
F	F	0.08 / 0.2 = 0.4

Table: Computing p(C|A)

- Marginalizing over variable B
- Computing p(C|A)

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen22 / 52

Q #3b: Step-by-Step Derivation (Verification)

В	(A)	Prob	_			С	(A)	Prob
Т	Т	0.4	_			Т	Т	0.5
F	Т	0.6	_			F	Т	0.5
Т	F	0.1	_			Т	F	0.6
F	F	0.9	_			F	F	0.4
		В	С	(A)		Prc	b	
		Т	Т	Т	0.5 *	0.4	== 0.	2
		Т	F	Т	0.5 *	0.4	== 0.	2
		F	Т	Т	0.5 *	0.6	== 0.	3
		F	F	Т	0.5 *	0.6	== 0.	3
		Т	Т	F	0.6 *	0.1 :	== 0.0	)6
		Т	F	F	0.4 *	0.1 :	== 0.0	)4
		F	Т	F	0.6 *	0.9 :	== 0.5	54
		F	F	F	0.4 *	0.9 :	== 0.3	36

All of the probabilities are equal, therefore B and C are independent given A.

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen23 / 52

Learning Goals

Unconditional and Conditional Independence

#### Examples of Bayesian Networks

Why Bayesian Networks

Representing the Joint Distribution

Independence in Three Key Structures

Revisiting Learning Goals

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen24 / 52

#### Inheritance of Handedness



CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen25 / 52

#### Car Diagnostic Network



CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen26 / 52

#### Example: Fire alarms



Report: "report of people leaving building because a fire alarm went off"

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen27 / 52

#### Example: Medical diagnosis of diabetes



CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen28 / 52

Learning Goals

Unconditional and Conditional Independence

Examples of Bayesian Networks

Why Bayesian Networks

Representing the Joint Distribution

Independence in Three Key Structures

Revisiting Learning Goals

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen29 / 52

## Why Bayesian Networks?

A probabilistic model of the Holmes scenario:

- The random variables: Earthquake, Radio, Burglary, Alarm, Watson, and Gibbon.
- # of probabilities in the joint distribution:  $2^6 = 64$ .

For example,

$$P(E \land R \land B \land A \land W \land G) = ?$$
$$P(E \land R \land B \land A \land W \land \neg G) = ?$$

... etc ...

We can compute any probability using the joint distribution, but

- Quickly become intractable as the number of variables grows.
- Unnatural and tedious to specify all the probabilities.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen30 / 52

## Why Bayesian Networks?

#### A Bayesian Network

- is a compact version of the joint distribution
- takes advantage of the unconditional and conditional independence among the variables.

#### Reminder: Modelling the Holmes Scenario

- $\rightarrow$  The random variables:
  - B: A Burglary is happening.
  - ► A: The alarm is going.
  - ► W: Dr. Watson is calling.
  - ► G: Mrs. Gibbon is calling.
  - E: Earthquake is happening.
  - R: A report of earthquake is on the radio news.

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen32 / 52

A Bayesian Network for the Holmes Scenario



How many probabilities do we need to encode the Network?

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen33 / 52

## Bayesian Network

A Bayesian Network is a *directed acyclic graph* (DAG).

- Each node corresponds to a random variable.
- X is a parent of Y if there is an arrow from node X to node Y.

 $\rightarrow$  Like a family tree, there are parents, children, ancestors, descendants.

Each node X<sub>i</sub> has a conditional probability distribution P(X<sub>i</sub>|Parents(X<sub>i</sub>)) that quantifies the effect of the parents on the node. Learning Goals

Unconditional and Conditional Independence

Examples of Bayesian Networks

Why Bayesian Networks

Representing the Joint Distribution

Independence in Three Key Structures

Revisiting Learning Goals

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen35 / 52

## The Semantics of Bayesian Networks

Two ways to understand Bayesian Networks:

- A representation of the joint probability distribution
- An encoding of the conditional independence assumptions

The idea is that, given a random variable X, a small set of variables may exist that directly affect the variable's value in the sense that X is conditionally independent of other variables given values for the directly affecting variables.

- ► The set of locally affecting variables is called **Markov blanket**.
- Start with a set of random variables representing all the features of the model.
- Define the **parents** of random variable X<sub>i</sub>, written as parents(X<sub>i</sub>).
- $X_i$  is independent from others given the  $parents(X_i)$ .

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen37 / 52

Markov Blanket: a boundary of a random variable.



Figure: Markov Blanket for random variable 5.

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen38 / 52

We can compute the full joint probability using the following formula.

$$P(X_n \wedge \dots \wedge X_1) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | Parents(X_i))$$

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen39 / 52

**Example:** What is the probability that all of the following occur?

- The alarm has sounded
- Neither a burglary nor an earthquake has occurred
- Both Watson and Gibbon call and say they hear the alarm
- There is no radio report of an earthquake

**Example:** What is the probability that all of the following occur?

- The alarm has sounded
- Neither a burglary nor an earthquake has occurred
- Both Watson and Gibbon call and say they hear the alarm
- There is no radio report of an earthquake

#### $\rightarrow$ Formulate as a joint probability:

 $P(\neg B \land \neg E \land A \land \neg R \land G \land W)$ =  $P(\neg B)P(\neg E)P(A|\neg B \land \neg E)P(\neg R|\neg E)P(G|A)P(W|A)$ = (1 - 0.0001)(1 - 0.0003)(0.01)(1 - 0.0002)(0.4)(0.8)=  $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ 

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen40 / 52

## Q #4: Calculating the joint probability

Q: What is the probability that all of the following occur?

- NEITHER a burglary NOR an earthquake has occurred,
- The alarm has NOT sounded,
- NEITHER of Watson and Gibbon is calling, and
- There is NO radio report of an earthquake?



- (B) 0.6699
- (C) 0.7699
- (D) 0.8699

(E) 0.9699



CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen41 / 52

## Q #4: Calculating the joint probability

Q: What is the probability that all of the following occur?

- NEITHER a burglary NOR an earthquake has occurred,
- The alarm has NOT sounded,
- NEITHER of Watson and Gibbon is calling, and
- There is NO radio report of an earthquake?



 $\rightarrow (A)$ (1 - 0.0001)(1 - 0.0003)(1 - 0.01)(1 - 0.4)(1 - 0.04)(1 - 0.0002) = 0.5699

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen41 / 52

Learning Goals

Unconditional and Conditional Independence

Examples of Bayesian Networks

Why Bayesian Networks

Representing the Joint Distribution

Independence in Three Key Structures

Revisiting Learning Goals

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen42 / 52

#### Burglary, Alarm and Watson



CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen43 / 52

# Q #5: Unconditional Independence

Q: Are Burglary and Watson independent?



- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) Can't tell.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen44 / 52

# Q #5: Unconditional Independence

Q: Are Burglary and Watson independent?



- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) Can't tell.

 $\rightarrow$  Correct answer is No.

If you learned the value of B, would your belief of W change? If B is true, then Alarm is more likely to be true, and W is more likely to be true.

CS 486/686: Intro to AI Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen44 / 52

# Q #6: Conditional Independence

**Q:** Are Burglary and Watson conditionally independent given Alarm?



- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) Can't tell

# Q #6: Conditional Independence

**Q:** Are Burglary and Watson conditionally independent given Alarm?



- (A) Yes
- (B) No
- (C) Can't tell
- $\rightarrow$  Correct answer is Yes.

Assume that W does not observe B directly. W only observes A.

- B and W could only influence each other through A.
- If A is known, then B and W do not affect each other.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen45 / 52

Alarm, Watson and Gibbon



CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen46 / 52

# Q #7: Unconditional Independence

Q: Are Watson and Gibbon independent?



(A) Yes(B) No(C) Can't tell

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen47 / 52

# Q #7: Unconditional Independence

Q: Are Watson and Gibbon independent?



(A) Yes

(B) No

(C) Can't tell

 $\rightarrow$  Correct answer is No. If Watson is more likely to call, then Alarm is more likely to go off, which means that Gibbon is more likely to call.

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen47 / 52

**Q:** Are Watson and Gibbon conditionally independent given Alarm?



(A) Yes(B) No(C) Can't tell

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen48 / 52

**Q:** Are Watson and Gibbon conditionally independent given Alarm?



(A) Yes

(B) No

(C) Can't tell

 $\rightarrow$  Correct answer is Yes. Watson and Gibbon are both unreliable sensors for Alarm. If Alarm is known, then Watson and Gibbon do not affect each other.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen48 / 52

#### Earthquake, Burglary, and Alarm



CS 486/686: Intro to Al Lecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen49 / 52

# Q #9 Unconditional Independence

Q: Are Earthquake and Burglary independent?



(A) Yes(B) No(C) Can't tell

CS 486/686: Intro to AlLecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen50 / 52

# Q #9 Unconditional Independence

Q: Are Earthquake and Burglary independent?



(A) Yes(B) No(C) Can't tell

#### $\rightarrow$ Correct answer is Yes.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng

Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen50 / 52

# Q #10: Conditional Independence

**Q**: Are Earthquake and Burglary conditionally independent given Alarm?





CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen51 / 52

# Q #10: Conditional Independence

**Q:** Are Earthquake and Burglary conditionally independent given Alarm?



#### (A) Yes

- (B) No
- (C) Can't tell

 $\rightarrow$  Correct answer is No. Suppose that the Alarm is going. If there is an Earthquake, then it is less likely that the Alarm is caused by Burglary. If there is a Burglary, it is less likely that the Alarm is caused by Earthquake.

CS 486/686: Intro to AILecturer: Yuntian Deng Slides: Alice Gao / Blake Vanberlo / Wenhu Chen51 / 52

# Revisiting Learning Goals

 Given a probabilistic model, determine if two variables are unconditionally independent, or conditionally independent given a third variable.

- Give examples of deriving a compact representation of a joint distribution by using independence assumptions.
- Describe components of a Bayesian network.
- Compute a joint probability given a Bayesian network.
- Explain the independence relationships in the three key structures.